

***trans*-Bis(acetonitrile- κ N)tetraaquacobalt(II) tetrachloridocobaltate(II)**

Violetta Patroniak and Maciej Kubicki*

Department of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University, Grunwaldzka 6, 60-780 Poznań, Poland

Correspondence e-mail: mkubicki@amu.edu.pl


Received 10 December 2008; accepted 7 January 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 170$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.011$ Å; R factor = 0.064; wR factor = 0.164; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.1.

In the title complex, $[\text{Co}(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{CoCl}_4]$, the Co^{II} ions are octahedrally coordinated in the cation, with *trans*-disposed acetonitrile ligands, and tetrahedrally coordinated in the anion. An extensive network of $\text{O}-\text{H}(\text{water})\cdots\text{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds between cations and anions connects the ions into a three-dimensional network. The $\text{Co}-\text{Cl}$ distances correlate with the number of hydrogen bonds accepted by the Cl atoms.

Related literature

For background to our studies on new helical metal complexes, see: Stefankiewicz *et al.* (2008). There are only few examples of other bis(acetonitrile)tetraqua complexes, these are mainly cobalt complexes: bis(4,7-phenantroline) diperchlorate (Beauchamp & Loeb, 2002), dinitrate (Kopylovich *et al.*, 2001; Barnett *et al.*, 2002), dichloride monohydrate (Malkov *et al.*, 2003) and dibromide (Depree *et al.*, 2000), and one nickel complex, dibromide, has been reported (Assoumatine & Stoeckli-Evans, 2001). All these compounds have 1:2 composition. For a description of the Cambridge Structural Database, see: Allen (2002).

**Experimental***Crystal data*

$[\text{Co}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{N})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4][\text{CoCl}_4]$
 $M_r = 413.83$
 Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
 $a = 7.0569$ (4) Å
 $b = 12.3209$ (8) Å
 $c = 17.9698$ (12) Å
 $V = 1562.43$ (17) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 2.81$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 170$ (2) K
 $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

Data collection

Kuma KM-4-CCD diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan
 (*CrysAlis RED*; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.52$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.57$
 6778 measured reflections
 2619 independent reflections
 2386 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.027$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.164$
 $S = 1.03$
 2619 reflections
 145 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 3.41$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.58$ e Å⁻³
 Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
 1006 Friedel pairs
 Flack parameter: 0.28 (4)

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Co1—O1W	2.085 (5)	Co1—N21	2.106 (6)
Co1—O2W	2.076 (5)	Co2—Cl1	2.260 (2)
Co1—O3W	2.067 (5)	Co2—Cl2	2.2760 (19)
Co1—O4W	2.088 (5)	Co2—Cl3	2.2787 (19)
Co1—N11	2.093 (6)	Co2—Cl4	2.3185 (18)

Table 2

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1W—H1WA \cdots Cl2 ⁱ	0.90	2.34	3.185 (6)	155
O1W—H1WB \cdots Cl3	0.90	2.40	3.250 (6)	157
O2W—H2WA \cdots Cl4 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.29	3.153 (5)	159
O2W—H2WB \cdots Cl4 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.29	3.153 (5)	159
O3W—H3WA \cdots Cl3 ⁱ	0.90	2.34	3.163 (6)	152
O3W—H3WB \cdots Cl1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.90	2.30	3.191 (6)	169
O4W—H4WA \cdots Cl4 ^{iv}	0.90	2.35	3.201 (5)	158
O4W—H4WB \cdots Cl2 ^v	0.90	2.36	3.199 (6)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + 1, y, z$; (ii) $-x + 1, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *CrysAlis CCD* (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); cell refinement: *CrysAlis RED* (Oxford Diffraction, 2007); data reduction: *CrysAlis RED*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Stereochemical Workstation Operation Manual* (Siemens, 1989) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This research was carried out as part of a Polish Ministry of Higher Education and Science project (grant No. NN 204 2716 33).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: KP2200).

References

- Allen, F. H. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **B58**, 380–388.
- Assoumatine, T. & Stoeckli-Evans, H. (2001). *Acta Cryst.* **E57**, m179–m180.
- Barnett, S. A., Blake, A. J., Champness, N. R. & Wilson, C. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* **E58**, m444–m446.
- Beauchamp, D. A. & Loeb, S. J. (2002). *Chem. Eur. J.* **8**, 5084–5088.
- Depree, C. V., Ainscough, E. W., Brodie, A. M., Gainsford, G. J. & Lensink, C. (2000). *Acta Cryst.* **C56**, 17–18.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Kopylovich, M. N., Kukushkin, V. Yu., Guedes da Silva, M. F. C., Haukka, M., da Silva, J. J. R. F. & Pombeiro, A. J. L. (2001). *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1*, pp. 1569–1573.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **41**, 466–470.
- Malkov, A. E., Fomina, I. G., Sidorov, A. A., Aleksandrov, G. G., Egorov, I. M., Latosh, N. I., Chupakhin, O. N., Rusinov, G. L., Rakin, Yu. V., Novotortsev, V. M., Ikorskii, V. N., Eremenko, I. L. & Moiseev, I. I. (2003). *J. Mol. Struct.* **656**, 207–224.
- Oxford Diffraction (2007). *CrysAlis CCD* and *CrysAlis RED*. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Abingdon, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Siemens (1989). *Stereochemical Workstation Operation Manual*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Stefankiewicz, A. R., Wałęsa, M., Ciesielski, A., Patroniak, V., Kubicki, M., Hnatejko, Z., Harrowfield, J. M. & Lehn, J.-M. (2008). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2910–2920.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, m173-m174 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809000750]

***trans*-Bis(acetonitrile- κ N)tetraaquacobalt(II) tetrachloridocobaltate(II)**

V. Patroniak and M. Kubicki

Comment

In the course of our studies on new helical metal complexes (*e.g.* Stefankiewicz *et al.*, 2008), we have prepared by chance the new *trans*-bis(acetonitrile- N)tetraaquacobalt(II) salt, with CoCl_4 as the dianion. Surprisingly, there are only few examples of other salts of this dication, and in all six structures deposited in the CSDC (Ver. 5.29, Nov. 2007, Allen, 2002) the stoichiometry is 1:2, *i.e.* there are only monoanions.

In the cation the cobalt(ii) is octahedrally coordinated, with almost ideal geometry: the Co—N(O) distances are in the range 2.067 (5)–2.106 (5) Å, and the angles within the octahedron do not deviate more than 3° from the ideal values of 90° and 180°. The anion, as usual for CoCl_4 , forms a tetrahedron which geometry also deviates only slightly from the ideal values (see Fig. 1).

Both cations and anions are connected by the three dimensional network of O—H(water)⋯Cl hydrogen bonds. Interestingly, there is a correlation between the number of hydrogen bonds accepted by the Cl atom and the lengths of the Co—Cl bond: shorter the bond, less hydrogen bonds it accepts. The hydrogen bond network is built predominantly from the different rings, with 4 donors (4 different hydrogen atoms) and 2, 3, or 4 different chlorine atoms. The most important motifs found - not taking into account simple non-cyclic dimers of the form O—H⋯Cl - can be described with graph set symbols as $R^4_2(10)$, $R^4_3(10)$ and $R^4_4(14)$.

Experimental

The complex was prepared as described previously (Stefankiewicz *et al.*, 2008). The main product of this reaction was complex $[\text{Co}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{N})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\text{Cl}_2$.

Refinement

Hydrogen atoms were located geometrically, in case of the water molecules on the basis of potential hydrogen bonds, and refined as the 'riding model' with U_{iso} 's set at 1.3 times U_{eq} 's of appropriate oxygen atoms. The relatively large values of residual electron density is probably an effect of unresolved twinning; the efforts on describing this twinning did not improve the model.

The Flack parameter (0.28 (4)) could suggest the possibility of a wrong absolute structure; however, the refinement of the inverted structure led to the higher values of the R -parameters ($R(F)$ of 6.66% for observed and 7.13% for all reflections, $wR2$ is 16.35%) as well as for Flack parameter, which is 0.65 (4) in this case.

Figures




Fig. 1. Anisotropic ellipsoid representation of compound **I** with atom labelling scheme (Siemens, 1989). The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are depicted as spheres of arbitrary radii. Hydrogen bonds are drawn as dashed lines.




Fig. 2. The crystal packing as seen approximately along a direction (Macrae *et al.*, 2008). Hydrogen bonds are depicted as dashed lines.

trans-Bis(acetonitrile- κ N)tetraaquacobalt(II) tetrachloridocobaltate(II)

Crystal data

[Co(C₂H₃N)₂(H₂O)₄][CoCl₄]

$M_r = 413.83$

Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$

Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab

$a = 7.0569$ (4) Å

$b = 12.3209$ (8) Å

$c = 17.9698$ (12) Å

$V = 1562.43$ (17) Å³

$Z = 4$

$F_{000} = 824$

$D_x = 1.759$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

$\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 5736 reflections

$\theta = 4-22^\circ$

$\mu = 2.81$ mm⁻¹

$T = 170$ (2) K

Prism, blue

$0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm

Data collection

Kuma KM-4-CCD
diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Monochromator: graphite

$T = 170$ (1) K

ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlis RED; Oxford Diffraction, 2007)

$T_{\min} = 0.52$, $T_{\max} = 0.57$

6778 measured reflections

2619 independent reflections

2386 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.027$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^\circ$

$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^\circ$

$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

$k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

$l = -19 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

Least-squares matrix: full

$$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.064$$

$$wR(F^2) = 0.164$$

$$S = 1.03$$

2619 reflections

145 parameters

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

H-atom parameters constrained

$$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.131P)^2 + 0.4597P]$$

$$\text{where } P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 3.41 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Extinction correction: none

Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 1006 Friedel pairs

Flack parameter: 0.28 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Co1	0.75813 (15)	0.49124 (7)	0.11221 (5)	0.0234 (3)
O1W	0.6109 (8)	0.4648 (5)	0.2112 (3)	0.0336 (13)
H1WA	0.6469	0.4571	0.2590	0.044*
H1WB	0.4977	0.4985	0.2113	0.044*
O2W	0.6278 (8)	0.3582 (4)	0.0632 (3)	0.0369 (14)
H2WA	0.6344	0.2855	0.0584	0.048*
H2WB	0.5070	0.3775	0.0540	0.048*
O3W	0.8873 (8)	0.6277 (4)	0.1558 (3)	0.0363 (14)
H3WA	1.0017	0.6448	0.1747	0.047*
H3WB	0.8287	0.6903	0.1440	0.047*
O4W	0.8975 (7)	0.5205 (4)	0.0117 (3)	0.0336 (13)
H4WA	0.9898	0.4702	0.0091	0.044*
H4WB	0.8595	0.5339	-0.0353	0.044*
N11	0.9845 (8)	0.3935 (5)	0.1465 (3)	0.0262 (13)
C12	1.1077 (12)	0.3375 (6)	0.1583 (4)	0.0299 (18)
C13	1.2670 (12)	0.2646 (6)	0.1711 (5)	0.0353 (18)
H13A	1.3462	0.2612	0.1263	0.046*
H13B	1.2192	0.1918	0.1828	0.046*
H13C	1.3428	0.2916	0.2130	0.046*
N21	0.5299 (9)	0.5881 (5)	0.0764 (4)	0.0274 (14)
C22	0.4087 (10)	0.6463 (6)	0.0660 (4)	0.0229 (15)
C23	0.2587 (12)	0.7229 (6)	0.0533 (5)	0.0389 (19)

supplementary materials

H23A	0.2599	0.7775	0.0930	0.051*
H23B	0.1367	0.6848	0.0536	0.051*
H23C	0.2767	0.7587	0.0051	0.051*
Co2	0.16403 (13)	0.51515 (7)	0.35869 (5)	0.0222 (3)
Cl1	0.3220 (3)	0.35571 (15)	0.36207 (13)	0.0393 (5)
Cl2	-0.1531 (2)	0.48179 (16)	0.36180 (10)	0.0339 (5)
Cl3	0.2520 (3)	0.61534 (15)	0.25785 (10)	0.0327 (5)
Cl4	0.2382 (3)	0.61707 (13)	0.46316 (9)	0.0278 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Co1	0.0234 (5)	0.0248 (5)	0.0218 (5)	0.0022 (4)	-0.0002 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
O1W	0.030 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.021 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.006 (3)
O2W	0.034 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.046 (4)	0.001 (2)	-0.012 (3)	-0.004 (3)
O3W	0.036 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.010 (3)	-0.008 (3)
O4W	0.028 (2)	0.044 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.005 (3)	0.000 (2)	0.007 (3)
N11	0.024 (3)	0.027 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.006 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.001 (3)
Cl2	0.034 (4)	0.031 (4)	0.025 (4)	-0.007 (4)	0.003 (3)	0.007 (3)
Cl3	0.032 (4)	0.039 (4)	0.035 (4)	0.006 (4)	-0.003 (4)	0.007 (3)
N21	0.029 (3)	0.022 (3)	0.031 (3)	-0.003 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.004 (3)
C22	0.019 (3)	0.023 (4)	0.026 (4)	-0.001 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.003 (3)
C23	0.028 (4)	0.034 (4)	0.055 (5)	0.008 (4)	0.011 (4)	0.011 (4)
Co2	0.0225 (5)	0.0211 (5)	0.0231 (5)	0.0001 (4)	-0.0011 (4)	0.0016 (4)
Cl1	0.0425 (11)	0.0256 (9)	0.0497 (12)	0.0065 (8)	-0.0087 (11)	-0.0011 (9)
Cl2	0.0243 (8)	0.0487 (11)	0.0288 (9)	-0.0083 (8)	-0.0021 (8)	0.0050 (9)
Cl3	0.0302 (9)	0.0409 (10)	0.0269 (9)	-0.0002 (10)	0.0028 (8)	0.0094 (8)
Cl4	0.0293 (9)	0.0270 (8)	0.0272 (9)	-0.0022 (8)	0.0009 (8)	-0.0032 (7)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Co1—O1W	2.085 (5)	N11—C12	1.130 (10)
Co1—O2W	2.076 (5)	C12—C13	1.458 (11)
Co1—O3W	2.067 (5)	C13—H13A	0.9807
Co1—O4W	2.088 (5)	C13—H13B	0.9805
Co1—N11	2.093 (6)	C13—H13C	0.9806
Co1—N21	2.106 (6)	N21—C22	1.132 (9)
O1W—H1WA	0.9007	C22—C23	1.436 (10)
O1W—H1WB	0.9005	C23—H23A	0.9805
O2W—H2WA	0.9005	C23—H23B	0.9805
O2W—H2WB	0.9005	C23—H23C	0.9807
O3W—H3WA	0.9005	Co2—Cl1	2.260 (2)
O3W—H3WB	0.9009	Co2—Cl2	2.2760 (19)
O4W—H4WA	0.9007	Co2—Cl3	2.2787 (19)
O4W—H4WB	0.9008	Co2—Cl4	2.3185 (18)
O3W—Co1—O2W	177.1 (2)	Co1—O4W—H4WB	134.6
O3W—Co1—O1W	91.3 (2)	H4WA—O4W—H4WB	107.1
O2W—Co1—O1W	91.0 (2)	C12—N11—Co1	173.6 (6)

O3W—Co1—O4W	88.8 (2)	N11—C12—C13	178.2 (8)
O2W—Co1—O4W	88.7 (2)	C12—C13—H13A	109.6
O1W—Co1—O4W	178.1 (2)	C12—C13—H13B	109.3
O3W—Co1—N11	91.1 (2)	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
O2W—Co1—N11	90.5 (2)	C12—C13—H13C	109.4
O1W—Co1—N11	92.2 (2)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
O4W—Co1—N11	89.7 (2)	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
O3W—Co1—N21	89.6 (2)	C22—N21—Co1	171.1 (6)
O2W—Co1—N21	88.8 (2)	N21—C22—C23	178.2 (8)
O1W—Co1—N21	88.2 (2)	C22—C23—H23A	109.2
O4W—Co1—N21	89.9 (2)	C22—C23—H23B	109.3
N11—Co1—N21	179.2 (2)	H23A—C23—H23B	109.5
Co1—O1W—H1WA	133.6	C22—C23—H23C	109.8
Co1—O1W—H1WB	111.9	H23A—C23—H23C	109.5
H1WA—O1W—H1WB	107.2	H23B—C23—H23C	109.5
Co1—O2W—H2WA	143.4	C11—Co2—C12	109.11 (9)
Co1—O2W—H2WB	106.7	C11—Co2—C13	110.97 (9)
H2WA—O2W—H2WB	107.1	C12—Co2—C13	112.66 (8)
Co1—O3W—H3WA	136.8	C11—Co2—C14	109.74 (8)
Co1—O3W—H3WB	113.9	C12—Co2—C14	107.45 (8)
H3WA—O3W—H3WB	107.4	C13—Co2—C14	106.80 (7)
Co1—O4W—H4WA	105.4		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
O1W—H1WA \cdots C12 ⁱ	0.90	2.34	3.185 (6)	155
O1W—H1WB \cdots C13	0.90	2.40	3.250 (6)	157
O2W—H2WA \cdots C14 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.29	3.153 (5)	159
O2W—H2WA \cdots C14 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.29	3.153 (5)	159
O3W—H3WA \cdots C13 ⁱ	0.90	2.34	3.163 (6)	152
O3W—H3WB \cdots C11 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.90	2.30	3.191 (6)	169
O4W—H4WA \cdots C14 ^{iv}	0.90	2.35	3.201 (5)	158
O4W—H4WB \cdots C12 ^v	0.90	2.36	3.199 (6)	155

Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1, y, z$; (ii) $-x+1, y-1/2, -z+1/2$; (iii) $-x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2$; (iv) $-x+3/2, -y+1, z-1/2$; (v) $-x+1/2, -y+1, z-1/2$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

